

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_84736
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparism of Microscopy and molecular diagnosis of Ehrlichia ruminatium in cattles in Makurdi Benue Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalibrr.com/index.php/IBRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Follow the author guidelines while preparing the manuscript. Abstract should be divided in following sub headings Aims, place and duration of study, Methodology, Results, Conclusions. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3]. Please ensure that all references should be in standard journal format given below Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002;32(4):489-98. Also check corrections marked in the manuscript.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Language need s improvement.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alok Kumar Dixit
Department, University & Country	Nanaji Deshmukh Veterinary Science University, India